## **Electronic Test Result Communication in the Era of the 21st Century Cures Act Appendix B. Included Studies**

					Ту	<ul> <li>*</li> <li>*</li> <li>*</li> <li>*</li> <li>*</li> <li>*</li> </ul>		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Allard 2001	Data in Brief, 35, 10686	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 40,460 patients S: Academic medical center	Record review, review of portal utilization data	•			
Alpert 2018	JMIR Cancer, 4(1), e5	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 35 patients, 13 oncologists, and 12 medical informaticists S: Cancer center	Interview	•		<b>*</b>	•
Anyidoho 2023	JCO Oncology Practice, 19(5), e706-e713	United States	D: Prospective, observational P: 159 clinicians S: U.S. cancer centers (predominantly academic)	Survey/ questionnaire				•
Avdagovska 2020	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(12), e24568	Canada	D: Scoping review P: Studies of the impact of patient portal use	Literature review	<b>*</b>	•	•	•
Bar-Lev 2020	Israel Journal of Health Policy Research, 9(1), 58	Israel	D: Experimental study of user reactions to randomly assigned display formats for test resutls P: 270 patients	Survey	<b>*</b>		<b>•</b>	
Baun 2020	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(2), 10	Denmark	D: Cross-sectional P: 38 patients with metastatic breast cancer S: Academic medical center	Survey; interview (subsample)	•			



					Ту			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Bhalla 2023	JAMA Oncology, 10(1), 139-140	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 44,419 patients S: Academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	•	•		
Black 2021	Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 33(1), 80-83	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 10 social workers S: Huntington's disease centers	Survey				•
Bleeker 2021	Journal of Clinical Oncology, 39 (15 Suppl), 1544	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 100 patients; unspecified number of clinicians S: Rural health system	Survey			•	•
Brooks 2023	BMC Health Services Research, 23, 216	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 29 patients, 29 clinicians S: Comprehensive cancer center	Survey/ questionnaire, Interview			•	•
Bruno 2022	Health and Technology, 12(1), 59-67	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 8030 patients S: Academic medical center	Survey			<b>•</b>	
Burgdorf 2023	Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 25(4), 729-73.e4	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 8,409 home health care episodes for 4,878 unique patients S: Academic health system	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	<b>•</b>	•		

					Ту			tion
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Cajander 2018	Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 247, 271-275	Sweden	D: Cross-sectional P: 7 nurses S: Primary care	Interview				•
Chung 2023	Digital Health, 9 (online first)	United States	D: Observational feasibility and acceptability study P: 12 radiation oncologists S: Academic medical center	Survey/ questionnaire				•
Coughlin 2018	Journal of Cancer Treatment & Diagnosis, 2(6), 10.29245/2578- 2967/2018/6.1154	United States	D: Literature review P: Studies of web portal use by patients with cancer	Literature review	•	•	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>
Cox 2020	BMJ Open, 10(1), 7	U.K.	D: Cross-sectional P: 121 clinicians, 282 patients S: N/A; convenience sample	Survey			<b>•</b>	•
Cristofaro 2020	Journal of Digital Imaging, 33(6), 1479-1486	Italy	D: Retrospective record review P: 9068 imaging exams S: Single health service region	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	<b>*</b>	•		
Dahm 2021	Patient Education and Counseling, 104(8), 1970-1977	Australia	D: Cross-sectional P: 26 clinicians, 32 patients S: 3 emergency departments	Interview			<b>•</b>	•

					Ту			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Danforth 2019	American Journal of Kidney Diseases, 74(5), 589-600	United States	D: Mixed methods (record review and interview) P: 244540 patients, 15 clinicians S: Large integrated health system	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records (patients); interview (clinicians)	•			•
Datillo 2017	Applied Clinical Informatics, 8(3),832-844	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 310 patients S: Orthopedic practice in an academic health center	Survey			•	
Dobson 2022	New Zealand Medical Journal, 135(1556), 114-123	New Zealand	D: Cross-sectional P: Unspecified number of patients S: Regional health board	Interview			<b>•</b>	
Edmonds 2019	BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 19(1):187	United States	D: Secondary analysis of clinical trial data P: 4669 patients S: Two academic medical centers	Interview	<b>*</b>	•		
Edwards 2020	Academic Radiology, 27(5), 739-743	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 112 parents of pediatric radiology patients S: Radiology department at an academic medical center	Survey	•		•	
Ellenbogen 2021	Journal of the American College of Radiology, 18(6), 864-867	United States	D: Retrospective record review at 2 time points (before and after portal integration with image viewing portal) P: 15127 patients S: Academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data	<b>*</b>			

					Ty			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Emamekhoo 2023	JCO Clinical Cancer Informatics, 7, e2200119	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 2,076 patients S: Cancer center at an academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data	<b>*</b>			
Eustace 2023	International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 117(2), S125-S126	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 54 patients S: Academic medical center	Survey/ questionnaire			•	
Falotico 2023	Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 89(5), 1058-1060	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 505 in-basket messages from patients to providers S: Academic dermatology practice	Medical record review			•	
Ford 2021	Journal of Adolescent Health, 69(6), 873-877	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: Healthcare professionals (sample size unknown) S: 7 adolescent health programs in academic medical centers	Qualitative summary of professional meeeting				•
Foster 2019	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(6), 14	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 208635 tests in 25361 patients S: Academic emergency department	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	<b>*</b>	<b>•</b>		
Fraccaro 2018	BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 18(1), 11	U.K.	D: Experimental study of user reactions to different ways of presenting of lab results (presented in random order) P: 20 patients S: Renal transplant clinic	Survey, eye tracking measurement			•	

					Ту	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Freise 2021	JMIR Formative Research, 5(2), 10	U.K.	D: Cross-sectional P: 160 patients S: Single health service region	Survey			•	
Garry 2020	Journal of the American College of Radiology, 17(9), 1130-1138	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 1005 patients S: Academic health system	Survey			<b>•</b>	
Ghalibaf 2017	JMIR Medical Informatics, 5(2), 49-58	Iran	D: Cross-sectional evaluation research P: 5 clinicians, 8 patients (evaluation phase) S: Endocrinology clinic	Interview			•	•
Giardina 2018	Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 25(4), 440-446	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 95 patients S: Four outpatient clinics	Survey, interview			•	
Gibbs 2018	Sexually Transmitted Infections, 94(8), 622-624	U.K.	D: Cross-sectional P: 426 patients (36 interviews) S: Six community sexually transmitted infection screening programs	Survey, interview, review of portal utilization data	•		<b>•</b>	
Gorski 2021	Laboratory Investigation, 101(Suppl 1), 1154- 1155	Canada	D: Retrospective record review P: Patients with pathology results (sample size not specified) S: Academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data and access to educational web site linked from portal	<b>*</b>			

					T	<ul> <li>*</li> <li>*</li> </ul>		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Haggstrom 2019	Journal of Rural Health, 35(2), 144- 154	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 970 randomly sampled adults from the community S: 34 counties in the state of Indiana	Survey	•	•		
Halaska 2019	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(7), e12595	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 105 patients S: Community feedback panel in the state of Colorado	Survey			<b>•</b>	
Hazara 2019	Clinical Kidney Journal, 13(1), 1-7	U.K.	D: Literature review P: Studies of the PatientView portal S: Renal clinics in the U.K.	Literature review	•		<b>*</b>	
Heponiemi 2022	Digital Health, 8, 10	Finland	D: Cross-sectional P: 4495 adults S: Population-based national sample	Survey	•	•		
Huerta 2017	Annals of Internal Medicine, 167(11), 816-817	United States	D: Prospective, observational P: 179 patients S: Academic medical center (15 rooms in 1 patient unit in each of 2 hospitals)	Survey, interview	•		•	
Hulter 2023	PLoS ONE, 18(2), e0280768	Netherlands	D: Cross-sectional P: 4,592 patients S: Teaching hospital in the Netherlands	Survey/ questionnaire, Interview			<b>♦</b>	
Joseph 2022	Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 295, 175-178	Canada	D: Case study P: One older adult user of a lab results portal S: Provincial health research platform	Interview, journey mapping			•	

					Ту			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Kalejta 2019	Journal of Genetic Counseling, 28(4), 847-855	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 10,170 patients S: Obstetrics practices in a large regional health system	Review of portal utilization data, test result comprehension survey	•		•	
Kelly 2020	Hospital Pediatrics, 10(11), 1002-1005	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 96 health care professionals (47 physicians, 41 nurses, 8 pharmacists) S: Tertiary children's hospital	Survey				•
Kelly 2019	Hospital Pediatrics, 9(4), 273-280	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 14 parents of inpatients S: General medical/surgical unit in a tertiary children's hospital	Interview			•	
Kim 2018	JMIR Formative Research, 2(1), e6	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 18 low-income pregnant women S: Outpatient prenatal clinic	Interview, medical record review	•	<b>•</b>	<b>•</b>	
Korngiebel 2018	Journal of Genetic Counseling, 27(2), 349-357	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 20 health care professionals (genetic counselors and specialist physicians) S: Unclear; purposive sampling	Interview				•
Korngiebel 2022	JMIRx Med, 3(2), e29706	United States	D: Observational: cross sectional/one- time P: 59 patients S: Single academic medical center	Interview			•	

					Ту	Type of Data Collection  Characteristics  Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences  Clinician Experiences.		tion
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Krasowski 2017	Journal of Pathology Informatics, 8, 45	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 1,585,749 test results (inpatient, outpatient, & emergency department) S: Academic health system	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	•	•	•	
Latulipe 2018	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 20(11), e10524	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 10 low-income older adults S: Academic medical center	Interview			•	
Lee 2018	Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 66, S161	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 4,551 adults aged 65-90 S: Large regional health system	Survey		<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	
Leonard 2022	American Journal of Surgery, 224(1), 27-34	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 69 clinicians, 57 patients S: Academic medical center	Survey			<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>
Li 2022	Neurology, 98(18 Suppl), 669	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 439 patients with multiple sclerosis S: Academic outpatient clinic	Review of medical records			•	
Lo 2022	Journal of Patient Experience, 9, 2374373522111221	Canada	D: Cross-sectional P: 16,498 patients S: Academic health system	Survey	•		•	
Lockhart 2019	Ulster Medical Journal, 88(3), 157- 161	U.K.	D: Cross-sectional P: 75 patients S: Three outpatient endocrinology clinics	Survey			•	

					Ту	<b>♦</b> So		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Luoh 2021	JNCCN Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 19(5.5), 592	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 5,950 patients S: Medical oncology departments at a comprehensive cancer center	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	•			
McCleary 2018	Journal of Oncology Practice, 14(8), e451-e461	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 1,019 patients (survey), 20 staff (focus group), and 5 PFAC members (focus group) S: Comprehensive cancer center	Survey, focus groups			•	See note <sup>3</sup>
Moerenhout 2021	Health Informatics Journal, 27(1), 1460458220980039	Belgium	D: Cross-sectional P: 1,688 patients S: Resident of one Belgian province	Survey			•	
Monkman 2022a	Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 294, 599-603	Canada	D: Cross-sectional P: 25 patients S: Online health research platform	Interview			•	
Monkman 2022b	Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 290, 867-871	Canada	D: Cross-sectional P: 25 patients S: Online health research platform	Interview			•	
Monkman 2023	JMIR Human Factors, 10, e42843	Canada	D: Cross-sectional P: 30 adult test results portal users S: Web-based platform for health research volunteers in British Columbia, Canada	Survey/ questionnaire			•	

					Ту	Portal User Characteristics Characteristics Attitudes, Preferences Clinician Experiences,		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Morrow 2019	Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 25(1), 41-61	United States	D: Quasi-experimental P: 144 community-dwelling adults aged 60-94 S: Not specified	Survey			•	
Morrow 2017	Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 69, 63- 74	United States	D: Quasi-experimental P: 12 and 24 older adults (2 studies) S: Regional health research panel	Survey, interview			•	
Murphy 2019	International Journal of Medical Informatics, 127, 102-108	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 39 health care professionals (13 primary care physicians and staff, 13 lab and radiology representatives, 6 clinic administrators, 1 patient safety personnel, and 6 informatics and information technology personnel) S: 3 large health care systems in the state of Texas	Interview				•
Neame 2019	Archives of Disease in Childhood, 104, A31-A32	U.K.	D: Cross-sectional P: 25 participants (16 professionals, 5 parents, 4 young people) S: Children's hospital	Survey			•	•
Nielsen 2021	Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 286, 89-93	Denmark	D: Cross-sectional P: 100 participants selected to represent "average citizens" S: Not specified	Video observation, survey (results reported only from survey)	•		<b>*</b>	

					Ту			tion
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Noureldin 2022	Gastroenterology, 162(7), S-409	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 5,605 patients with inflammatory bowel diseases S: Tertiary referral center	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	•	•		
Nystrom 2017	Diagnosis, 4(4), eA96-eA97	United States	D: Live observational study P: Current patient portal users (sample size not specified but report states 10 interviews and 3 live observations) S: Not specified	Interview, live observation			•	
Nystrom 2018	eGEMs, 6(1), 15	United States	D: Live observational study P: 14 patients S: Academic medical center	Interview, live observation, survey			<b>•</b>	
Oest 2018	Academic Pathology, 5, 9	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 536,378 patients; 219,671 patient encounters S: Rural academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data	•	<b>•</b>		
Okawa 2017	Journal of the American College of Radiology, 14(9), 1219-1221	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 99,882 radiology reports available to patients via the patient portal during (also reported various subsamples) S: Regional health system	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	•			
Onuma 2019	Surgery, 165(4), 782-788	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 257 patients S: Academic medical center	Survey			<b>•</b>	

					Ty	tion		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Perlis 2022	Journal of Urology, 207(Suppl 5), e278- e279	Canada	D: Randomized trial P: 40 patients (20 experimental, 20 control) S: Academic medical center	Survey			•	
Petrovskaya 2023	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 25, e43765	Canada	D: Scoping review P: 27 articles focused on patient and provider views on patient access to test results in portals	Literature review			•	•
Pho 2018	JAMA Oncology, 4(3), 416-418	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 19,434 patients S: Comprehensive cancer center	Review of portal utilization data & associated patient records	•	•		
Rahman 2022	Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 49(4), 257-261	United States	D: Retrospective record review and cross-sectional P: 11,947 patients (subset of 258 interview participants) S: State STD/HIV/hepatitis program	Review of portal utilization data, interview	•		•	
Ramos 2023	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 25, e42304	Spain	D: Cross-sectional P: 1,695 participants S: Population-based telephone survey	Survey/ questionnaire		•	•	
Reid 2022	Chest, 162(4), A1613	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 44 patients S: Academic medical center	Survey	•			
Rexhepi 2021	Health Informatics Journal, 27(3), 11	Sweden	D: Cross-sectional P: 2,857 patients S: National community sample	Survey			<b>♦</b>	

					Ту	tion		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Reynolds 2023	Applied clinical informatics, 14(4), 654-669	United States	D: State-of-the-art review P: 74 articles focused on recent developments in portal research and recommendations for portal design, implementation, and evaluation	Literature review	•	•	•	•
Robinson 2019	BMJ Health & Care Informatics, 26(1), 0	Canada	D: Cross-sectional P: 21 patients S: Regional health system	Interview			<b>•</b>	
Rogers 2023	Ultrasound (Leeds, England), 31(3), 164-175	U.K.	D: Systematic review P: 12 articles reporting 13 studies of patient experiences of imaging reports	Literature review			•	
Sääskilahti 2021	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(7), e25368	Finland	D: Cross-sectional P: 994 pharmacy customers S: Outpatient pharmacies	Survey	<b>*</b>		•	
Sabahi 2018	Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis, 32(6), 1	Iran	D: Cross-sectional P: 95 patients S: University hospital	Survey			•	
Sabahi 2017	American Journal of Managed Care, 23(4), E113-E119	Iran	D: Cross-sectional P: 200 patients S: Academic medical center laboratory	Survey			<b>*</b>	
Schnock 2019	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(7), e13336	United States	D: Randomized stepped-wedge design P: 1,755 inpatients S: Six acute care units in a single hospital	Review of portal utilization data, survey	•	<b>•</b>	•	
Schultz 2017	Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 64, S68	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 15 caregivers of children with cancer S: Children's hospital	Interview			<b>*</b>	

					Ту	Type of Data Collection			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	
Schultz 2018	Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 65(11), e27306	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 19 caregivers of children with cancer S: Children's hospital	Interview			<b>*</b>		
Sharif 2020	Journal of General Internal Medicine, 35(Suppl 1), S75	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 62,610 patients S: Federally qualified health center	Review of portal utilization data & associated medical records	<b>*</b>	•			
Shucard 2020	JAMA Dermatology, 156(3), 320-324	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 160 dermatopathologists S: National sample	Survey				•	
Sisk 2023	Pediatrics, 151(6), e2023061213	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 65 informatics administrators S: 63 US pediatric hospitals with 50+ beds	Interview			•	•	
Steitz 2017	Applied Clinical Informatics, 8(3), 779-793	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 493,753 patient portal sessions initiated by 15,711 users (pediatric patients and caregivers) S: Children's hospital	Review of portal utilization data	•				
Steitz 2021	JAMA Network Open, 4(10), 3	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 294,799 patients S: Academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data & patient-initiated messaging	<b>*</b>				
Steitz 2023	JAMIA, 30(10), 1707-1710	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 368,831 unique patients who received 4,973,207 test results S: Academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data	•	•	•		

					Ty	Type of Data Collection			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	
Steitz 2023	JAMA Network Open, 6(3), e233572	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 8,139 patients S: Four academic medical centers	Survey/ questionnaire	•		<b>*</b>		
Struikman 2020	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(7), e15798	Netherlands	D: Experimental P: 487 participants S: Health research panel	Survey			<b>*</b>		
Sullivan 2022	American Journal of Perinatology, 41(04), 511-514	United States	D: Prospective, observational P: 40 health care professionals (physicians, nurses, social workers) S: Neonatal intensive care unit	Survey				•	
Sun 2019	Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics, 21(10), 581-588	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 38,399 patients with type 2 diabetes S: Ambulatory clinic in an academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data	<b>*</b>				
Swisher 2020	Journal of Clinical Oncology, 38(15 Suppl)	United States	D: Randomized trial P: 3,822 patients undergoing genetic testing for hereditary cancer syndrome S: Multisite clinical trial	Survey			<b>•</b>		
Swoboda 2021	Applied Clinical Informatics, 12(3), 573-581	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 3,466 participants S: National population survey	Survey	•	<b>•</b>			
Talboom-Kamp 2020	JMIR Formative Research, 4(3), e17060	Netherlands	D: Cross-sectional P: 354 patients S: Primary care diagnostic center	Survey			<b>•</b>		

					Type of Data Collection			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Ternald 2018	Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 65, S633	Sweden	D: Cross-sectional P: Unknown number of survey respondents; 4 interview participants S: Pediatric oncology ward	Interview			•	
Tieu 2017	Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 24(E1), E47-E54	United States	D: Live observational study P: 23 patients and 2 caregivers S: Safety-net hospital	Interview			•	
Tolentino 2023	Computers, Informatics, Nursing: CIN, 41(11), 892-902	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 3,865 adults S: Nationally representative survey - US Health Information National Trends Surveys (HINTS)	Survey/ questionnaire	•			
Tome 2021	Kidney Medicine, 3(2), 231-240.e1	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 245 patients with chronic kidney disease S: Nephrology clinics at an academic medical center	Survey	•			
Tossaint- Schoenmakers 2021	JMIR Formative Research, 5(12), e25498	Netherlands	D: Cross-sectional P: 748 users of a patient portal for laboratory test results S: Diagnostic center	Survey			•	
Turer 2021	Applied Clinical Informatics, 12(04), 954-959	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 324 COVID-19 test recipients who were able to access results through a portal S: Academic medical center	Survey			•	

					Ту	pe of Da	ta Collec	tion
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Turer 2022	Applied Clinical Informatics, 13(5), 1123-1130	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 31,164 unique patients and 60,314 ED encounters S: Academic emergency department	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	•	•		
Turer 2023	Academic Emergency Medicine, 30(S1), 208	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 295,741 ED encounters S: 5 emergency departments (3 academic, 2 community) in 3 geographic regions	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	•			
Van Kuppenveld 2020	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(2), e13622	Netherlands	D: Retrospective record review P: 63 incidents, 4 complaints, 2673 helpdesk requests, 50 survey responses S: University-affiliated tertiary hospital	Survey, review of operational data including complaints and help desk queries			<b>*</b>	•
Wass 2019	Health Informatics Journal, 25(1), 203- 215	Sweden	D: Cross-sectional P: 56 survey respondents, 9 interviewees (all patients) S: Three outpatient care sites	Survey, interview	•		•	
Wieland 2023	Journal of Cancer Education, 38(3), 895-899	United States	D: Experimental (aim 1) and cross- sectional (aim 2) P: 69 patients and 16 caregivers S: Community cancer center	Survey			<b>*</b>	
Wildenbos 2018	Digital Health, 4, 2055207618797883	Netherlands	D: Cross-sectional P: 131 older adult patients S: Academic medical center	Survey			<b>•</b>	

					Ty	tion		
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data <sup>2</sup>	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences
Williams 2018	Journal of Genetic Counseling, 27(2), 358-369	United States	D: Randomized trial P: 84 parents of children undergoing genetic testing S: Academic medical center	Survey, interview	•		•	
Wood 2021	Hospital Pediatrics, 11(6), 587-594	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 5,862 unique patients S: Children's hospital	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	•	<b>•</b>		
Wood 2023	Journal of Pathology Informatics, 14(), 100323	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 204,605 patients; 2,064,774 tests in adult patients and 273,552 tests in pediatric patients. S: Single academic medical center	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	<b>•</b>	•		
Woolen 2019	Radiology, 290(1), 136-143	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 418 patients S: Four outpatient imaging sites in 2 U.S. states	Survey			•	
Yin 2023	Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 71(S1), S332-S333	United States	D: Retrospective record review P: 509,341 older adult patients S: Large integrated health system	Review of portal utilization data and associated medical records	•	<b>•</b>		
Zeng 2022	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 24(9), e35828	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 3,865 adults S: Population-based survey	Survey	•	<b>•</b>		
Zhang 2021a	Health Informatics Journal, 27(2), 1460458221101121 5	United States	D: Live observational study P: 13 adults with prior experience using patient portals S: Unclear	Interview			•	

					Ту	Type of Data Collection			
First Author Last Name and Year of Publication	Journal, Volume (Issue), Page Nos.	Country	Design (D) Participants/Sample (P) Setting (S) <sup>1</sup>	Mode of Data Collection	Portal Usage Data²	Portal User Characteristics	Patient Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	Clinician Experiences, Attitudes, Preferences	
Zhang 2020	Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(12), e18725	United States	D: Cross-sectional, mixed methods P: 203 adults S: Convenience sample recruited using Amazon mTurk	Survey, interview (n = 13)			•		
Zhang 2021b	JMIR Human Factors, 8(4), e26017	United States	D: Live observational study P: 8 participants S: Not specified	Interview			•		
Zikmund-Fisher 2017	Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 4(3), 520-528	United States	D: Experimental P: 1,620 patients S: Convenience sample recruited from an Internet research panel	Survey			•		
Zikmund-Fisher 2019	Medical Decision Making, 39(7), 796- 804	United States	D: Cross-sectional P: 327 physicians S: Convenience sample recruited from an Internet research panel	Survey				•	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Setting is not described for literature reviews and for studies that were based on multiple convenience sampling methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Portal usage data refers to relative or absolute frequency of portal use to access test results and could be self-reported or determined through review of records or portal usage logs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Staff were included in data collection but were not asked specifically about using the portal to access test results.