# SECTION VI. SCIENTIFIC SOUNDNESS OF THE MEASURE

# VI.B. Validity

## **VI.B.1** Construct Validity

To examine construct validity, we performed comparative analyses between our metrics and two existing metrics: the Continuity Ratio, also based on MAX administrative data (Ku 2009, Ku 2013), and a metric derived from the American Community Survey (Boudreaux 2013).

## Continuity Ratio:

The Continuity Ratio calculates the average number of children enrolled per month divided by the number of children enrolled at any point in the year (Ku 2009, Ku 2013). Like Coverage PE, the continuity ratio will tend to underestimate continuity, as the implied assumption is that any child eligible during an interval of time is presumed to be eligible across the entire interval. Unlike Coverage PE, the Continuity Ratio makes no adjustments to the denominator for children who enroll for the first time midyear or who age out of Medicaid.

### ACS Metric:

For the purpose of using survey data to validate our metric, we selected the American Community Survey conducted by the U.S. Census. The ACS provides the largest national sample, with over 2.8 million households interviewed annually, and is aggregated at the state level, allowing us to most accurately compare survey-based findings with our metrics utilizing administrative data (Call et al. 2013; Davern et al. 2009). The ACS contains one health insurance question, and details of respondent's annual income and employment status, from which we can define eligibility (U. S. Census Bureau). Because the logical edits to reported Medicaid enrollment (to correct the documented issue with Medicaid undercounting) in the ACS were only implemented in 2009, we also coded these same edits into the 2008 ACS data (Lynch, Boudreaux, and Davern).

Although primarily based on one question in the survey, there are nevertheless several ways of defining a metric for the ACS. We opted to use a definition similar to that used in a study by the Census Bureau which linked ACS data with administrative records (Boudreaux et al. 2013), because it would create a denominator most similar to what we see in the MAX data. In MAX, children who have dual eligibility or dual enrollment in Medicaid/CHIP and other insurance types are included in the records, and we allowed similar reports of dual enrollment in the ACS. Using the ACS health insurance question), we measured the ratio of children who reported enrollment in "Medicaid, Medical Assistance, or any kind of government-assistance plan for those with low incomes or a disability", or children who reported enrollment in other types of insurance in addition to Medicaid (the numerator) to those children plus those who reported no enrollment in any of the options listed in the survey question (the denominator). For inclusion in the denominator, each child's reported household income also had to be below the age-specific income thresholds in a given state.

Using survey data to validate our metrics allows us to ensure accuracy despite one of the primary problems with using administrative datasets like MAX: the inability to observe children who are eligible but not enrolled.

#### Methods

In order to maximize the significance of our comparisons, we expanded these analyses to 43 states which passed a filter test developed to determine whether a state's managed care claims were sufficiently complete to assess appendicitis coverage, and thus generate an Informed Coverage metric (see Informed Coverage CPCF for detailed description of filter).

To examine construct validity, we report Pearson correlations and absolute errors between the external standard of the ACS-based metric and the various metrics now used to assess insurance enrollment in the pediatric Medicaid/CHIP population. We used data from an initial time period, January 2008-June 2009, compared to the 2008 ACS, in order to construct our metrics. A second time period, July 2008-December 2009, was used with the 2009 ACS for validation.

#### Results

<u>APPENDIX IVa</u> describes Coverage PE, Coverage PI, Informed Coverage, ACS, the Continuity Ratio, Appendicitis Coverage, and Duration as measured in the 43 states.

<u>APPENDIX IVb</u> describes the correlations between all metrics based on the 43 states included in the analysis. Informed Coverage was well correlated with the ACS metric across the 43 states (r = 0.81 (0.66, 0.89)), and showed similar correlation with ACS in the validation set (r = 0.75 (0.57, 0.85)). Duration displayed lower correlations with all metrics (r = 0.44 (0.15, 0.65) and (r = 0.50 (0.23, 0.69) respectively.

APPENDIX IVc describes the median absolute errors between Informed Coverage, Coverage PE, Coverage PI, and the Continuity Ratio relative to the ACS survey and Appendicitis coverage rates. In the development set, the median absolute errors between IC or CR and the ACS survey were similar. However, in the validation set, the median absolute error between the 2009 ACS estimate and IC was 2.69%, and 4.09% between ACS and the Continuity Ratio, with significant difference between these errors (P < 0.05). Of note, the median absolute errors in the "uninformed" PE and PI versus 2009 ACS were 6.39% and 5.54% respectively, with a significant difference between the PI error versus the error associated with IC (P <0.0001). In other words, using appendectomy to inform coverage reduced the error with respect to the ACS survey.

### **VI.B.2 Predictive Validity**

We also measure validity in terms of predictive validity (whether the measures of coverage predict an outcome of interest) and construct validity (whether the measures of coverage are associated with other measures of performance) (McDowell, 2006). To this end we have completed regression models to demonstrate the statistical association of coverage measures at the county level (as a measure of performance) and the probability that an individual child will be at risk for an ambulatory care sensitive condition (ACSC), after controlling for other known risk factors for ACSCs, and regressions to demonstrate the probability that an individual child will be at risk for selected health outcomes from the initial pediatric core quality measurement set (also termed the CHIPRA core quality metrics) after controlling for other known risk factors for these outcomes available in the MAX data.

#### **CHIPRA Core Measures: Positive Outcomes & Utilization**

### Methods

First, we examined how the Duration and Coverage metrics related to five of the CHIPRA core set measures: Preventive Dental, Emergency Dental, Well-child visits (15 months), Asthma, and ADHD follow-up (Centers for Medicare and CHIP Services, 2011). We selected these measures out of the full 24-measure CHIPRA core set because they affect a wide swath of the pediatric population and/or are sensitive to continuity of insurance (Halterman, 2008; Cassedy, 2008; DeVoe, 2008; Federico, 2007; Lavarreda, 2008; Olson, 2005; Schoen, 2000, Jones, 2008; Ortega, 2001, Shatin, 1998). They are also evaluable with standard administrative claims datasets, such as MAX. Patient outcomes (0, 1 denoting achievement or non-achievement of a specific core measure) were the dependent variables. The independent variables included the continuity metric of interest, as well as specific patient level variables such as neighborhood education level, neighborhood percent poverty, and specific chronic diseases versus a reference of no chronic disease. Tables in the Appendix (one for each of the five analyzed core measures) are displayed with seven models each, each model adding additional patient characteristics. As most of the outcomes are dichotomous variables, we report logit models and their C-statistics.

In the validation models using the CHIPRA quality outcomes, two different sampling schemes were used to avoid the mathematical tautology of using a patient characteristic as an explanatory and outcome variable. Specifically, since the metrics were stratified by county, using a patient in the calculation for their respective county and then applying that estimate to that patient is cyclical, in that the patient affects the estimate which affects the patient, and thus biases the resulting regression coefficients.

For some of the CHIPRA measures in the validation studies applicable to a particular subset of patients (i.e. those with asthma, ADHD, etc.), we used the classic method of applying the estimation set to the validation set such that all patients who did not possess the specific CHIPRA outcome were used as the estimation set for the coverage and duration metric numbers which were then applied to the patients who had the specific CHIPRA outcome. Another sampling scheme was used for the dental and well child visit outcomes that are applicable to all patients. For these outcomes, the patients were randomly split into two samples and the coverage and duration metrics were calculated in each outcome stratum. Then, the

estimates from each of the samples were applied to the other sample, thus avoiding the mathematical tautology that a patient did not influence or contribute to the estimate used for that patient in the modeling process. After the estimates for each sample were applied to the other sample, the models were calculated at each step. Using these two sampling schemes for the metric estimates provided a way to avoid a cyclical estimation process between the metrics and the patient outcomes that would alter the estimates within each outcome stratum and thus biased the regression coefficients from the CHIPRA validation models.

### **Results**

## APPENDIX Va: CHIPRA Core Set outcome tables

As seen in Appendix Va, for Illinois and Louisiana, Coverage PI demonstrated predictive validity, with higher Coverage generally being significantly associated with better outcomes (p<0.05). One unexpected exception was that better Coverage was associated with a higher likelihood of Asthma-related emergency room visits in Louisiana (OR 0.881; p<0.0001) in the single item base model (i.e. including the average county-level coverage measure as the sole predictor. We posit that patients with better insurance continuity may be more willing to make discretionary visits to the ED, a hypothesis that is supported by the literature (Jones, 2008; Ortega, 2001; Shatin, 1998). In the fully adjusted model, the opposite was shown in Louisiana with a significant association (p<0.05) of better coverage being associated with a higher likelihood of no asthma-related emergency room visits. Another unexpected exception was that better Coverage was associated, in both the single item base (OR 0.951; p<0.0001) and fully adjusted models (OR 0.963; p<0.001), with a decreased likelihood of children receiving 5 well-child visits by 15 months in Louisiana.

# Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions: Negative Outcomes and Unnecessary Hospitalization

We predicted that hospitalizations related to ambulatory care-sensitive conditions would be positively associated with poor performance on both the Duration and Coverage metrics. From the pediatric literature, we identified 22 ACSCs for use in our analysis: asthma, pediatric gastroenteritis, bacterial pneumonia, dehydration, UTIs, perforated appendix, seizure disorders, skin infection/cellulitis, failure to thrive, severe ENT infection, pelvic inflammatory disease, diabetes mellitus (short-term complications), immunization-preventable conditions, tuberculosis, anemia, congenital syphilis, congestive heart failure, dental conditions, hypoglycemia, nutritional deficiencies, and meningitis (Flores, 2003; Gadomski, 1998, Garg, 2003; Herrod, 2008; Parker, 2000; Tom, 2010). We divided the population into those who had at least one inpatient admission associated with any of these conditions (=1) and those who did not (=0), and looked for correlations with their Duration and/or Coverage metrics.

### **Results**

In brief, both the Coverage and Duration measures often showed a significant association with ACSC hospitalizations, but in the direction of increased Coverage and Duration leading to increased likelihood of hospitalization. Specific results from the single item and fully adjusted models are described in the ensuing paragraphs. Notably, statistically significant results using conventional thresholds for p-values of <0.05 should be interpreted with caution because the sample sizes in each of the analyzed states are quite large.

# **APPENDIX Vb: ACSC VALIDATION TABLES**

In single item base models i.e. including the average county-level coverage measure as the sole predictor), the average county-level coverage was significantly association (p<0.05) with an increase in a child's probability of an ACSC hospitalization in Illinois, North Carolina, New York, and Oregon. Specifically, for every 1% increase in the average county-level coverage there was a 2.2%-4.7% increase in a child's odds of being hospitalized for an ACSC. The opposite association (p<0.01) was seen Utah, where for every 1% increase in the average county-level coverage, there was a 6.0% decrease in a child's odds of being hospitalized for an ACSC. These associations were not significant for Louisiana, Montana and New Hampshire.

In the fully adjusted models, the average county-level coverage was significantly associated (p<0.0001) with an increase in a child's probability of an ACSC hospitalization in Illinois. Specifically, for every 1% increase in the average county-level coverage there was a 1.5% increase in a child's odds of being hospitalized for an ACSC. In contrast, the opposite association was found in North Carolina and New York, such that the average county-level coverage was significantly associated (p<0.01) with a 3.3% and 1.1% decreased odds of hospitalization for an ACSC condition. Finally, these associations were not significant in Louisiana, Montana, New Hampshire, Oregon, and Utah.

# **APPENDIX IV: Construct Validity**

# APPENDIX IVa: Measured rates for Coverage PE, Coverage PI, Appendicitis Coverage, Informed Coverage, Continuity Ratio, ACS, and Duration in 43 states

State	Coverage PE	Coverage PI	Appendicitis Coverage	Informed Coverage	Continuity Ratio	ACS	Duration
	0.699	0.853	0.829	0.853	0.755	0.931	0.371
AK	(0.697,0.701)	(0.852,0.855)	(0.725,0.906)	(0.852,0.855)	(0.753,0.757)	(0.902,0.953)	(0.365,0.377)
	0.765	0.905	0.868	0.905	0.814	0.840	0.572
AL	(0.764,0.766)	(0.904,0.905)	(0.821,0.907)	(0.904, 0.905)	(0.814,0.815)	(0.827, 0.853)	(0.569, 0.575)
4.70	0.780	0.877	0.881	0.877	0.809	0.867	0.497
AR	(0.779, 0.781)	(0.876, 0.878)	(0.835, 0.919)	(0.876, 0.878)	(0.808, 0.810)	(0.853, 0.879)	(0.495, 0.500)
A 77	0.729	0.862	0.752	0.794	0.764	0.728	0.412
AZ	(0.728, 0.730)	(0.862, 0.863)	(0.723, 0.780)	(0.793, 0.794)	(0.763, 0.764)	(0.714, 0.740)	(0.410, 0.414)
CA	0.693	0.851	0.795	0.772	0.764	0.767	0.414
CA	(0.693, 0.693)	(0.851, 0.851)	(0.782, 0.808)	(0.772, 0.772)	(0.764, 0.764)	(0.762, 0.772)	(0.413, 0.415)
CO	0.733	0.872	0.656	0.727	0.770	0.648	0.473
CO	(0.732, 0.734)	(0.872, 0.873)	(0.585, 0.723)	(0.726, 0.728)	(0.769, 0.771)	(0.628, 0.668)	(0.470, 0.475)
CT	0.824	0.927	0.512	0.824	0.847	0.833	0.682
CI	(0.822, 0.825)	(0.926, 0.928)	(0.355, 0.667)	(0.822, 0.825)	(0.846, 0.848)	(0.813,0.851)	(0.678, 0.686)
DE	0.756	0.879	0.889	0.756	0.785	0.811	0.464
	(0.754, 0.758)	(0.876, 0.879)	(0.518, 0.997)	(0.754, 0.758)	(0.783, 0.787)	(0.768, 0.850)	(0.458, 0.469)
FL	0.710	0.873	0.594	0.710	0.753	0.647	0.471
	(0.710, 0.711)	(0.872, 0.873)	(0.558, 0.628)	(0.710, 0.711)	(0.752,0.753)	(0.638, 0.657)	(0.470, 0.473)
GA	0.707	0.860	0.711	0.774	0.763	0.763	0.424
<b>0</b> 71	(0.706,0.707)	(0.860, 0.861)	(0.656, 0.762)	(0.773, 0.774)	(0.762,0.764)	(0.753,0.772)	(0.423,0.426)
HI	0.813	0.928	0.889	0.871	0.855	0.891	0.711
	(0.811,0.815)	(0.927,0.929)	(0.708,0.977)	(0.869,0.872)	(0.853,0.857)	(0.862,0.916)	(0.705,0.717)
IA	0.743	0.896	0.866	0.896	0.790	0.873	0.525
	(0.742,0.745)	(0.895,0.897)	(0.782,0.927)	(0.895,0.897)	(0.789,0.792)	(0.855,0.890)	(0.521,0.529)
ID	0.787	0.901	0.729	0.786	0.813	0.740	0.668
	(0.786,0.789)	(0.900,0.902)	(0.647,0.800)	(0.784,0.787)	(0.812,0.815)	(0.713,0.765)	(0.663,0.673)
$\mathbf{IL}$	0.848	0.934	0.941	0.930	0.867	0.889	0.722
	(0.848,0.849)	(0.934,0.935)	(0.924,0.955) 0.805	(0.930,0.930)	(0.867,0.867) 0.821	(0.882,0.897)	(0.720,0.724)
IN	0.790 (0.789,0.790)	0.911 (0.910,0.911)	(0.746,0.855)	0.850 (0.849,0.850)	(0.821,0.822)	0.765 (0.752,0.777)	0.560 (0.597,0.602)
	0.673	0.848	0.752	0.761	0.748	0.766	0.460
KS	(0.672,0.674)	(0.847,0.849)	(0.668, 0.824)	(0.760,0.762)	(0.747,0.750)	(0.743,0.787)	(0.456,0.464)
	0.811	0.944	0.921	0.943	0.882	0.870	0.728
LA	(0.810,0.811)	(0.943,0.944)	(0.890,0.946)	(0.943,0.944)	(0.881,0.882)	(0.859,0.880)	(0.725,0.731)
	0.801	0.917	0.837	0.859	0.830	0.818	0.640
MD	(0.780,0.801)	(0.917,0.918)	(0.785,0.881)	(0.858, 0.860)	(0.830,0.831)	(0.802,0.832)	(0.637,0.643)
	0.810	0.916	0.668	0.810	0.831	0.880	0.632
MI	(0.809, 0.810)	(0.915,0.916)	(0.602,0.730)	(0.809, 0.810)	(0.830,0.831)	(0.871,0.888)	(0.630,0.634)
3.537	0.723	0.874	0.702	0.723	0.778	0.776	0.461
MN	(0.722,0.724)	(0.873,0.874)	(0.641, 0.759)	(0.722, 0.724)	(0.777,0.779)	(0.759,0.793)	(0.458,0.464)
MO	0.772	0.893	0.844	0.832	0.814	0.807	0.572
MO	(0.771,0.773)	(0.892, 0.894)	(0.802, 0.880)	(0.832, 0.833)	(0.814, 0.815)	(0.795, 0.818)	(0.569, 0.575)

				I	1		
MT	0.725	0.870	0.653	0.798	0.778	0.659	0.461
1711	(0.722, 0.727)	(0.868, 0.871)	(0.504, 0.783)	(0.796, 0.800)	(0.776, 0.780)	(0.610, 0.706)	(0.454, 0.468)
NC	0.811	0.915	0.761	0.808	0.831	0.808	0.610
110	(0.811,0.812)	(0.915,0.916)	(0.723, 0.796)	(0.807, 0.808)	(0.830,0.831)	(0.798, 0.818)	(0.608, 0.611)
ND	0.706	0.866	0.821	0.750	0.755	0.831	0.378
112	(0.703, 0.709)	(0.864, 0.869)	(0.631, 0.939)	(0.748, 0.753)	(0.752,0.758)	(0.771, 0.881)	(0.370, 0.386)
NE	0.739	0.882	0.798	0.811	0.796	0.773	0.513
112	(0.738, 0.741)	(0.881, 0.883)	(0.692, 0.880)	(0.809, 0.812)	(0.795,0.797)	(0.743, 0.801)	(0.508, 0.518)
NH	0.781	0.891	0.927	0.891	0.807	0.831	0.548
1111	(0.779, 0.783)	(0.890, 0.893)	(0.801, 0.985)	(0.890, 0.892)	(0.805, 0.809)	(0.796, 0.862)	(0.541, 0.554)
NJ	0.777	0.909	0.843	0.836	0.839	0.771	0.598
1 10	(0.776, 0.778)	(0.909, 0.910)	(0.807, 0.874)	(0.836, 0.837)	(0.838, 0.839)	(0.758, 0.784)	(0.595,0.600)
NM	0.823	0.926	0.850	0.875	0.849	0.837	0.593
1 11/1	(0.822, 0.824)	(0.926, 0.927)	(0.806, 0.887)	(0.874, 0.875)	(0.848, 0.850)	(0.819, 0.854)	(0.590, 0.597)
NV	0.641	0.831	0.389	0.641	0.696	0.453	0.358
111	(0.640, 0.643)	(0.830, 0.833)	(0.276, 0.511)	(0.640, 0.643)	(0.695,0.698)	(0.425, 0.482)	(0.355,0.362)
NY	0.754	0.900	0.894	0.900	0.816	0.859	0.613
111	(0.753, 0.754)	(0.900, 0.901)	(0.876, 0.911)	(0.900,0.901)	(0.816,0.817)	(0.852, 0.865)	(0.611, 0.614)
ОК	0.750	0.869	0.846	0.869	0.789	0.839	0.486
	(0.749, 0.751)	(0.868, 0.870)	(0.808, 0.879)	(0.868, 0.870)	(0.788,0.790)	(0.824, 0.852)	(0.483, 0.489)
OR	0.695	0.842	0.745	0.769	0.756	0.687	0.361
	(0.694, 0.696)	(0.841, 0.842)	(0.679, 0.804)	(0.768, 0.769)	(0.755,0.757)	(0.664, 0.709)	(0.358,0.364)
RI	0.768	0.881	0.831	0.881	0.811	0.824	0.388
	(0.766, 0.770)	(0.880, 0.883)	(0.733, 0.905)	(0.880, 0.883)	(0.809, 0.813)	(0.787, 0.857)	(0.382,0.393)
SC	0.772	0.909	0.800	0.772	0.812	0.756	0.577
50	(0.771, 0.773)	(0.909,0.910)	(0.730, 0.859)	(0.771, 0.773)	(0.811,0.813)	(0.741, 0.772)	(0.574,0.579)
SD	0.751	0.884	0.844	0.884	0.802	0.873	0.533
	(0.749,0.753)	(0.882,0.885)	(0.705,0.935)	(0.882,0.885)	(0.800,0.804)	(0.838,0.902)	(0.526,0.539)
TN	0.809	0.910	0.881	0.860	0.842	0.824	0.685
	(0.808, 0.810)	(0.910, 0.911)	(0.834, 0.919)	(0.859,0.860)	(0.841,0.842)	(0.812, 0.835)	(0.683, 0.688)
TX	0.677	0.828	0.695	0.677	0.738	0.685	0.357
	(0.676, 0.677)	(0.828, 0.828)	(0.678,0.712)	(0.676,0.677)	(0.737,0.738)	(0.679,0.692)	(0.356,0.358)
UT	0.642	0.836	0.611	0.640	0.710	0.614	0.388
	(0.641,0.644)	(0.835,0.837)	(0.505, 0.709)	(0.639, 0.642)	(0.709,0.711)	(0.587,0.640)	(0.385,0.392)
VA	0.807	0.919	0.814	0.860	0.827	0.788	0.628
	(0.806,0.808)	(0.918,0.919)	(0.753,0.865)	(0.860,0.861)	(0.826,0.828)	(0.773,0.803)	(0.625,0.630)
VT	0.837	0.925	0.954	0.924	0.848	0.940	0.492
	(0.835,0.839)	(0.923,0.926)	(0.842,0.994)	(0.923,0.925)	(0.846,0.850)	(0.914,0.961)	(0.484,0.500)
WA	0.796	0.912	0.862	0.854	0.822	0.813	0.615
,,,_	(0.796,0.797)	(0.911,0.912)	(0.827,0.893)	(0.853, 0.855)	(0.821,0.822)	(0.800, 0.825)	(0.612,0.617)
WI	0.784	0.892	0.845	0.837	0.788	0.831	0.517
	(0.783,0.785)	(0.891,0.892)	(0.795,0.888)	(0.837,0.838)	(0.787,0.789)	(0.817,0.845)	(0.514,0.519)
WY	0.675	0.854	0.871	0.854	0.751	0.792	0.462
	(0.672, 0.678)	(0.852, 0.856)	(0.702, 0.964)	(0.852, 0.856)	(0.749, 0.754)	(0.736, 0.841)	(0.454, 0.470)

# APPENDIX IVb: Correlations between all metrics, across 43 states in two time periods

		Coverage PE	Coverage PI	Informed Coverage	Continuity Ratio	Appendicitis Coverage	Duration	ACS
	Development		0.92 <sup>d</sup>	0.71 <sup>d</sup>	0.94 <sup>d</sup>	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	$0.84^{\rm d}$	$0.60^{d}$
Coverage	Development	1	(0.85, 0.96)	(0.51, 0.83)	(0.89, 0.97)	(0.13, 0.63)	(0.72, 0.91)	(0.36, 76)
PE	Validation	1	0.89 <sup>d</sup>	$0.79^{d}$	$0.88^{d}$	$0.66^{d}$	$0.73^{d}$	0.64 <sup>d</sup>
	v anuanon		(0.80, 0.94)	(0.64, 0.88)	(0.79, 0.93)	(0.45, 0.80)	(0.54, 0.84)	(0.42, 0.79)
	Development			$0.69^{d}$	$0.95^{d}$	0.41 <sup>b</sup>	$0.90^{d}$	$0.60^{d}$
Coverage PI	Development		1	(0.49, 0.82)	(0.91, 0.97)	(0.12, 0.63)	(0.81, 0.94)	(0.36, 0.76)
Coverage F1	Validation		1	$0.80^{d}$	$0.96^{d}$	0.62 <sup>d</sup>	$0.87^{d}$	$0.65^{d}$
	vanuation			(0.64, 0.88)	(0.92, 0.98)	(0.39, 0.77)	(0.76, 0.92)	(0.43, 0.79)
	Dovolonment				$0.78^{d}$	.74 <sup>d</sup>	$0.59^{d}$	0.81 <sup>d</sup>
Informed	Development			1	(0.62, 0.87)	(0.55, 0.85)	(0.34, 0.75)	(0.66, 0.89)
Coverage	Validation			1	$0.84^{d}$	$0.86^{d}$	0.64 <sup>d</sup>	$0.75^{d}$
	vandation				(0.71, 0.91)	(0.75, 0.92)	(0.41, 0.78)	(0.57, 0.85)
	D14					0.51°	$0.87^{d}$	$0.69^{d}$
Continuity	Development				1	(0.25, 0.70)	(0.76, 0.92)	(0.49, 0.82)
Ratio	¥7-1: J-4:				1	0.73 <sup>d</sup>	0.85 <sup>d</sup>	0.75 <sup>d</sup>
	Validation					(0.54, 0.84)	(0.73, 0.91)	(0.57, 0.85)
	Davidanmant						0.29	$0.72^{d}$
Appendicitis	Development					1	(-0.01, 0.54)	(0.53, 0.83)
Coverage*	Validation					1	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	$0.76^{d}$
	vanuation						(0.20, 0.68)	(0.59, 0.86)
	Development							0.44 <sup>d</sup>
Duration	Development						1	(0.15, 0.65)
Duration	Validation						1	$0.50^{c}$ (0.23, 0.69)

# **APPENDIX IVc: Median Absolute Errors**

		Informed Coverage	Continuity Ratio	Coverage PE	Coverage PI	Appendicitis Coverage
		4.14%	4.06%	5.17%	9.38%	4.49%
ACS	Development		IC vs. CR P = 0.962	IC vs. PE P = 0.1355	IC vs PI P < 0.0001	
		2.69%	4.09%	6.39%	5.54%	3.76%
	Validation		IC vs. CR P = 0.035	IC vs. PE P < 0.0001	IC vs PI P = 0.0022	
		2.33%	4.06%	7.93%	5.05%	N/A
	Development		IC vs. CR P < 0.0001	IC vs. PE P < 0.0001	IC vs PI P < 0.0001	
Appendicitis		2.75%	5.69%	7.42%	5.58%	N/A
	Validation		IC vs. CR P < 0.0001	IC vs. PE P < 0.0001	IC vs PI P < 0.0001	

# Appendix Va: CHIPRA Core Measures: Positive Outcomes & Utilization

# <u>ADHD</u>

**Dependent variable:** likelihood to receive at least three follow-up care visits within 10 months after the first prescription of medication for ADHD, one of which occurred within the first 30 days. We coded the receiving of follow-up care as a 1, and lack or care as a 0.

# Illinois (N= 31,703)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.533	0.533	0.526	0.537	0.575	0.585	0.595
Intercept	-0.4227 <sup>d</sup>	-0.4231 <sup>d</sup>	-0.4086 <sup>d</sup>	-0.4981 <sup>d</sup>	-0.7623 <sup>d</sup>	-0.9408 <sup>d</sup>	-0.7250 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage	1.027 <sup>d</sup>	1.027 <sup>d</sup>	1.027 <sup>d</sup>	1.020 <sup>d</sup>	1.017 <sup>d</sup>	1.019 <sup>d</sup>	1.014 <sup>c</sup>
Age							
6-12 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
1-5 years old	/	5.740 <sup>a</sup>	5.754 <sup>a</sup>	5.451a	5.540a	5.921a	5.537a
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	0.949a	0.960	0.978	0.982	0.983
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.162 <sup>d</sup>	1.142 <sup>d</sup>	1.004	0.973
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.445 <sup>d</sup>	1.412 <sup>d</sup>	1.242 <sup>d</sup>	1.112 <sup>a</sup>
Other	/	/	/	1.221 <sup>c</sup>	1.221°	1.119	1.061
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.592 <sup>d</sup>	1.598 <sup>d</sup>	1.602 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	2.824	2.884
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	1.051	1.117 <sup>a</sup>
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.380 <sup>d</sup>	1.217 <sup>d</sup>
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	REPORTED	IN QUARTI	LES			
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.902a
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.826a
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	$0.768^{d}$
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.531 <sup>d</sup>
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.997
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.037
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.199 <sup>c</sup>
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.029
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.114 <sup>a</sup>
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.502 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.001

ADHD

Dependent variable: likelihood to receive at least three follow-up care visits within 10 months after the first prescription of medication for ADHD, one of which occurred within the first 30 days. We coded the receiving of follow-up care as a 1, and lack or care as a 0.

# **Louisiana** (N= 33,109)

Louisiana (N= 33,109)											
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7				
C-statistic	0.508	0.508	0.509	0.528	0.554	0.561	0.564				
Intercept	-0.4087 <sup>d</sup>	-0.4089 <sup>d</sup>	-0.4293 <sup>d</sup>	-0.3412 <sup>d</sup>	-0.4864 <sup>d</sup>	-0.6873 <sup>d</sup>	-0.6942 <sup>d</sup>				
Centered Coverage	0.991	0.991	0.991	1.019	1.019	1.019	1.019				
Age											
6-12 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
1-5 years old	/	2.507	2.452	2.569	2.517	2.574	2.564				
Sex											
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
Female	/	/	1.071 <sup>b</sup>	1.058 <sup>a</sup>	1.074 <sup>b</sup>	1.075 <sup>b</sup>	1.076 <sup>b</sup>				
Race											
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.819 <sup>d</sup>	0.808 <sup>d</sup>	0.786 <sup>d</sup>	0.775 <sup>d</sup>				
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.135	1.145	1.101	1.104				
Other	/	/	/	0.917	0.889a	0.867a	0.864a				
Chronic Condition											
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.396 <sup>d</sup>	1.395 <sup>d</sup>	1.393 <sup>d</sup>				
Geography											
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.				
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.999	0.926				
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	1.172 <sup>d</sup>	1.181 <sup>d</sup>				
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.326 <sup>d</sup>	1.307 <sup>d</sup>				
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	: REPORTE	D IN QUART	TILES		•					
Income											
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.				
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.971				
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.111				
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.924				
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.007				
Education											
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.				
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.038				
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.956				
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.909				
Poverty							312 42				
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.				
25-50% below FPL	/	/	1/	/	/	/	1.082				
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.102				
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	1,	/	/	/	1.126				
<sup>a</sup> p<0.05 <sup>b</sup> p<0.01 <sup>c</sup> p<0.001	d -0.0001	1 '	1 '	1 '	,	,	1.120				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

<u>Asthma-Related Emergency Room Visits</u> **Dependent variable:** likelihood of never experiencing an asthma-related emergency room visit.

Illinois (N=1,161,865)

minois (N=1,101,805)										
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7			
C-statistic	**	0.544	0.567	0.645	0.854	0.855	0.855			
Intercept	4.6694 <sup>d</sup>	4.9444 <sup>d</sup>	4.7807 <sup>d</sup>	5.2109 <sup>d</sup>	7.9963 <sup>d</sup>	8.1973 <sup>d</sup>	8.2625 <sup>d</sup>			
Centered Coverage	0.993	0.992	0.992	1.012 <sup>b</sup>	1.010 <sup>b</sup>	1.011 <sup>b</sup>	1.009a			
Age										
≥ 13 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
6-12 years old	/	0.779 <sup>d</sup>	0.783 <sup>d</sup>	0.729 <sup>d</sup>	0.765 <sup>d</sup>	0.765 <sup>d</sup>	0.764 <sup>d</sup>			
1-5 years old	/	0.639 <sup>d</sup>	0.643 <sup>d</sup>	0.561 <sup>d</sup>	0.685 <sup>d</sup>	0.686 <sup>d</sup>	0.686 <sup>d</sup>			
Sex										
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Female	/	/	1.423 <sup>d</sup>	1.429 <sup>d</sup>	1.115 <sup>d</sup>	1.115 <sup>d</sup>	1.115 <sup>d</sup>			
Race										
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.409 <sup>d</sup>	0.385 <sup>d</sup>	0.402 <sup>d</sup>	0.417 <sup>d</sup>			
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.208 <sup>d</sup>	1.105 <sup>b</sup>	1.153 <sup>d</sup>	1.132°			
Other	/	/	/	0.946	0.811 <sup>d</sup>	0.842°	0.832°			
Chronic Condition										
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	/	/	/	/	0.023 <sup>d</sup>	0.023 <sup>d</sup>	0.023 <sup>d</sup>			
Geography										
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	1.303	1.206			
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.802°	0.816 <sup>c</sup>			
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.783 <sup>d</sup>	0.770 <sup>d</sup>			
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	: REPORTEI	IN QUART	ILES						
Income										
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.011			
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.999			
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.976			
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.990			
Education										
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.062			
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.044			
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.178 <sup>d</sup>			
Poverty										
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.868°			
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.892a			
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.812°			
: - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : -	1	1 -	1 *	1 .		1 .				

<sup>\*\*</sup>Note: Measures of association between the observed and predicted values were not calculated because the predicted probabilities are indistinguishable when they are classified into intervals of length 0.002.

a p<0.05, b p<0.01, c p<0.001, d p<0.0001

Asthma-Related Emergency Room Visits

Dependent variable: likelihood of never experiencing an asthma-related emergency room visit.

Louisiana (N= 595,268)

Louisiana (N= 595,268)											
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7				
C-statistic	0.507	0.582	0.601	0.650	0.867	0.868	0.869				
Intercept	4.5234 <sup>d</sup>	4.9926 <sup>d</sup>	4.8154 <sup>d</sup>	5.4615 <sup>d</sup>	8.4934 <sup>d</sup>	8.6837 <sup>d</sup>	8.8307 <sup>d</sup>				
Centered Coverage	0.881 <sup>d</sup>	0.877 <sup>d</sup>	$0.876^{d}$	1.014	1.009	1.014	1.048 <sup>a</sup>				
Age											
≥ 13 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
6-12 years old	/	0.646 <sup>d</sup>	0.650 <sup>d</sup>	0.640 <sup>d</sup>	0.775 <sup>d</sup>	0.777 <sup>d</sup>	0.777 <sup>d</sup>				
1-5 years old	/	0.469 <sup>d</sup>	0.472 <sup>d</sup>	0.452 <sup>d</sup>	0.694 <sup>d</sup>	0.696 <sup>d</sup>	0.697 <sup>d</sup>				
Sex											
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
Female	/	/	1.472 <sup>d</sup>	1.480 <sup>d</sup>	1.200 <sup>d</sup>	1.200 <sup>d</sup>	1.202 <sup>d</sup>				
Race											
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.389 <sup>d</sup>	0.362 <sup>d</sup>	0.373 <sup>d</sup>	0.395 <sup>d</sup>				
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.095	0.909	0.960	0.957				
Other	/	/	/	0.735 <sup>d</sup>	0.789 <sup>c</sup>	0.818 <sup>b</sup>	0.829 <sup>b</sup>				
Chronic Condition											
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.				
Yes	/	/	/	/	0.015 <sup>d</sup>	0.015 <sup>d</sup>	0.015 <sup>d</sup>				
Geography											
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.				
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.810	0.753				
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.899a	0.857 <sup>b</sup>				
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.756 <sup>d</sup>	0.718 <sup>d</sup>				
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	: REPORTE	D IN QUART	ILES	•	•	•				
Income											
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.				
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.711 <sup>d</sup>				
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.902				
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.844a				
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.728°				
Education											
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.				
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.028				
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.181 <sup>b</sup>				
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.245 <sup>d</sup>				
Poverty											
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.				
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.133				
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.880				
≥ 75% below FPL	/	,	/	/	/	/	0.911				
_ / 5 / 5 OCIO W 1 1 L	,	,	1 '	,	,	,	0.711				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# Preventive Dental Services

**Dependent variable:** *likelihood of receiving at least one preventive dental service per calendar year.* **Illinois (N=1,432,414)** 

Illinois (N=1,432,414)										
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7			
C-statistic	0.549	0.626	0.626	0.650	0.656	0.656	0.659			
Intercept	-1.2106 <sup>d</sup>	-1.6291 <sup>d</sup>	-1.6480 <sup>d</sup>	-1.8752 <sup>d</sup>	-1.9930 <sup>d</sup>	-2.0595 <sup>d</sup>	-2.1156 <sup>d</sup>			
Centered Coverage	1.090 <sup>d</sup>	1.093 <sup>d</sup>	1.093 <sup>d</sup>	1.067 <sup>d</sup>	1.066 <sup>d</sup>	1.064 <sup>d</sup>	1.053 <sup>d</sup>			
Age										
≥ 13 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
6-12 years old	/	2.155 <sup>d</sup>	2.156 <sup>d</sup>	2.098 <sup>d</sup>	2.087 <sup>d</sup>	2.087 <sup>d</sup>	2.091 <sup>d</sup>			
1-5 years old	/	1.636 <sup>d</sup>	1.637 <sup>d</sup>	1.542 <sup>d</sup>	1.501 <sup>d</sup>	1.499 <sup>d</sup>	1.502 <sup>d</sup>			
0-1 years old	/	0.138 <sup>d</sup>	$0.139^{d}$	0.128 <sup>d</sup>	0.125 <sup>d</sup>	0.124 <sup>d</sup>	0.124 <sup>d</sup>			
Sex										
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Female	/	/	1.037 <sup>d</sup>	1.035 <sup>d</sup>	1.067 <sup>d</sup>	1.067 <sup>d</sup>	1.067 <sup>d</sup>			
Race										
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.133 <sup>d</sup>	1.134 <sup>d</sup>	1.086 <sup>d</sup>	1.042 <sup>d</sup>			
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.934 <sup>d</sup>	1.957 <sup>d</sup>	1.876 <sup>d</sup>	1.729 <sup>d</sup>			
Other	/	/	/	1.342 <sup>d</sup>	1.370 <sup>d</sup>	1.319 <sup>d</sup>	1.302 <sup>d</sup>			
Chronic Condition										
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.395 <sup>d</sup>	1.398 <sup>d</sup>	1.393 <sup>d</sup>			
Geography										
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.402°	0.423°			
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.996	0.987			
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.121 <sup>d</sup>	1.122 <sup>d</sup>			
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	: REPORTED	IN QUART	ILES		•				
Income										
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.993			
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.047°			
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.016			
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.036 <sup>b</sup>			
Education										
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.982a			
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.086 <sup>d</sup>			
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.238 <sup>d</sup>			
Poverty										
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.022 <sup>b</sup>			
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.067 <sup>d</sup>			
$\geq$ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.958 <sup>b</sup>			
_ , 5 , 0 0010 11 11 11	1 '	1 '	I '	1 '	1 '	1 '	0.755			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# Preventive Dental Services

Dependent variable: likelihood of receiving at least one preventive dental service per calendar year.

Louisiana (N= 668,924)

	1		ana (N= 00		1		
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.513	0.593	0.596	0.598	0.605	0.610	0.613
Intercept	-0.8399 <sup>d</sup>	-0.9311 <sup>d</sup>	-0.9859 <sup>d</sup>	-0.9380 <sup>d</sup>	-1.0103 <sup>d</sup>	-1.0254 <sup>d</sup>	-0.9132 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage	1.079 <sup>d</sup>	1.080 <sup>d</sup>	1.080 <sup>d</sup>	1.095 <sup>d</sup>	1.095 <sup>d</sup>	1.096 <sup>d</sup>	1.122 <sup>d</sup>
Age							
≥ 13 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
6-12 years old	/	1.489 <sup>d</sup>	1.491 <sup>d</sup>	1.492 <sup>d</sup>	1.476 <sup>d</sup>	1.480 <sup>d</sup>	1.479 <sup>d</sup>
1-5 years old	/	1.077 <sup>d</sup>	1.078 <sup>d</sup>	1.079 <sup>d</sup>	1.046 <sup>d</sup>	1.048 <sup>d</sup>	1.048 <sup>d</sup>
0-1 years old	/	0.071 <sup>d</sup>	0.0791 <sup>d</sup>	0.071 <sup>d</sup>	$0.069^{d}$	$0.068^{d}$	$0.068^{d}$
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	1.114 <sup>d</sup>	1.115 <sup>d</sup>	1.133 <sup>d</sup>	1.132 <sup>d</sup>	1.133 <sup>d</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.918 <sup>d</sup>	0.922 <sup>d</sup>	0.909 <sup>d</sup>	0.950 <sup>d</sup>
Hispanic	/	/	/	0.800 <sup>d</sup>	0.811 <sup>d</sup>	0.798 <sup>d</sup>	0.796 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	1.052 <sup>d</sup>	1.045 <sup>c</sup>	1.029a	1.041 <sup>c</sup>
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.258 <sup>d</sup>	1.256 <sup>d</sup>	1.257 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.297 <sup>d</sup>	0.279 <sup>d</sup>
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.945 <sup>d</sup>	0.975a
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.075 <sup>d</sup>	1.032°
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	REPORTED	IN OUARTI	LES		1 210.10	1
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.903 <sup>d</sup>
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.928 <sup>d</sup>
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.834 <sup>d</sup>
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.945 <sup>b</sup>
Education						,	0.7.0
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.055 <sup>d</sup>
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.133 <sup>d</sup>
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.080 <sup>d</sup>
Poverty	/	,	,	,	/	,	1.000
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.941 <sup>d</sup>
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.941° 0.889 <sup>d</sup>
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.889 <sup>d</sup>
≥ 13% Delow FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	U.8U9"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.001

# **Dental Treatment Services**

**Dependent variable:** likelihood of receiving at least one dental treatment service per calendar year.

Illinois (N= 1,432,414)

Illinois (N= 1,432,414)										
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7			
C-statistic	0.524	0.613	0.613	0.650	0.654	0.654	0.657			
Intercept	-2.0244 <sup>d</sup>	-2.0260 <sup>d</sup>	-2.0438 <sup>d</sup>	-2.1331 <sup>d</sup>	-2.2212 <sup>d</sup>	-2.2974 <sup>d</sup>	-2.2364 <sup>d</sup>			
Centered Coverage	1.053 <sup>d</sup>	1.053 <sup>d</sup>	1.053 <sup>d</sup>	1.041 <sup>d</sup>	1.040 <sup>d</sup>	1.038 <sup>d</sup>	1.034 <sup>d</sup>			
Age										
≥ 13 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
6-12 years old	/	1.431 <sup>d</sup>	1.431 <sup>d</sup>	1.361 <sup>d</sup>	1.353 <sup>d</sup>	1.352 <sup>d</sup>	1.349 <sup>d</sup>			
1-5 years old	/	0.792 <sup>d</sup>	0.792 <sup>d</sup>	0.718 <sup>d</sup>	0.702 <sup>d</sup>	0.701 <sup>d</sup>	0.698 <sup>d</sup>			
0-1 years old	/	0.013 <sup>d</sup>	0.013 <sup>d</sup>	0.012 <sup>d</sup>	0.011 <sup>d</sup>	0.011 <sup>d</sup>	0.011 <sup>d</sup>			
Sex										
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Female	/	/	1.035 <sup>d</sup>	1.033 <sup>d</sup>	1.057 <sup>d</sup>	1.057 <sup>d</sup>	1.057 <sup>d</sup>			
Race										
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.801 <sup>d</sup>	0.801 <sup>d</sup>	0.765 <sup>d</sup>	0.804 <sup>d</sup>			
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.788 <sup>d</sup>	1.802 <sup>d</sup>	1.722 <sup>d</sup>	1.653 <sup>d</sup>			
Other	/	/	/	1.242 <sup>d</sup>	1.261 <sup>d</sup>	1.210 <sup>d</sup>	1.198 <sup>d</sup>			
Chronic Condition										
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.291 <sup>d</sup>	1.293 <sup>d</sup>	1.295 <sup>d</sup>			
Geography										
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.629	0.586			
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	1.004	1.023			
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.135 <sup>d</sup>	1.093 <sup>d</sup>			
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	: REPORTEI	IN OUART	ILES						
Income										
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.971 <sup>b</sup>			
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.009			
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.910 <sup>d</sup>			
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.844 <sup>d</sup>			
Education										
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.989			
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.039°			
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.179 <sup>d</sup>			
Poverty										
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.025 <sup>a</sup>			
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.014			
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.919 <sup>d</sup>			
	1	1 .	1	1	1 .	1 .	1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

Dental Treatment Services

Dependent variable: likelihood of receiving at least one dental treatment service per calendar year.

Louisiana (N= 668,924)

	1		ana (N= 00		1		
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.505	0.596	0.604	0.609	0.614	0.617	0.620
Intercept	-1.5080 <sup>d</sup>	-1.3231 <sup>d</sup>	-1.3891 <sup>d</sup>	-1.3105 <sup>d</sup>	-1.3668 <sup>d</sup>	-1.3217 <sup>d</sup>	-1.2425 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage	1.016 <sup>d</sup>	1.013 <sup>b</sup>	1.012 <sup>b</sup>	1.036 <sup>d</sup>	1.036 <sup>d</sup>	1.037 <sup>d</sup>	1.059 <sup>d</sup>
Age							
≥ 13 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
6-12 years old	/	1.104 <sup>d</sup>	1.106 <sup>d</sup>	1.105 <sup>d</sup>	1.095 <sup>d</sup>	1.098 <sup>d</sup>	1.097 <sup>d</sup>
1-5 years old	/	0.632 <sup>d</sup>	0.633 <sup>d</sup>	0.632 <sup>d</sup>	0.616 <sup>d</sup>	0.618 <sup>d</sup>	0.617 <sup>d</sup>
0-1 years old	/	$0.024^{d}$	$0.024^{d}$	0.023 <sup>d</sup>	0.023 <sup>d</sup>	0.023 <sup>d</sup>	0.023 <sup>d</sup>
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	1.138 <sup>d</sup>	1.139 <sup>d</sup>	1.153 <sup>d</sup>	1.152 <sup>d</sup>	1.152 <sup>d</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.870 <sup>d</sup>	0.873 <sup>d</sup>	0.871 <sup>d</sup>	0.902 <sup>d</sup>
Hispanic	/	/	/	0.795 <sup>d</sup>	0.804 <sup>d</sup>	0.804 <sup>d</sup>	0.802 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	1.042 <sup>b</sup>	1.034 <sup>a</sup>	1.032a	1.046 <sup>b</sup>
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.196 <sup>d</sup>	1.193 <sup>d</sup>	1.195 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.318 <sup>d</sup>	0.310 <sup>d</sup>
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.912 <sup>d</sup>	0.937 <sup>d</sup>
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.979a	0.958 <sup>d</sup>
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	REPORTED	IN OUARTI	LES		1	1 2222
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.947°
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.921 <sup>d</sup>
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.932°
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.100 <sup>d</sup>
Education	,	,	,	,	,	,	11100
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.048 <sup>d</sup>
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.114 <sup>d</sup>
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.037 <sup>a</sup>
Poverty	/	,	,	,	/	,	1.037
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.921 <sup>d</sup>
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.921° 0.848 <sup>d</sup>
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.848 <sup>d</sup>
≥ 13% Delow FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.752

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

Well-child Visits, 15 months

Dependent variable: likelihood of each child 15 months of age to have had at least 5 well-child visits.

Illinois (N=109,085)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.469	0.505	0.503	0.546	0.609	0.617	0.622
Intercept	0.6356 <sup>d</sup>	0.6128 <sup>d</sup>	0.6334 <sup>d</sup>	0.8167 <sup>d</sup>	0.5316 <sup>d</sup>	0.8071 <sup>d</sup>	0.6855 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage	1.003	1.003	1.003	1.014 <sup>d</sup>	1.014 <sup>d</sup>	1.016 <sup>d</sup>	1.021 <sup>d</sup>
Age	1.003	1.003	1.003	1.014	1.014	1.010	1.021
0-1 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
1-5 years old	/	1.099 <sup>d</sup>	1.099 <sup>d</sup>	1.101 <sup>d</sup>	1.085 <sup>d</sup>	1.085 <sup>d</sup>	1.084 <sup>d</sup>
Sex	/	1.099	1.099	1.101	1.065	1.065	1.064
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	0.960 <sup>b</sup>	0.960 <sup>b</sup>	1.031a	1.031 <sup>a</sup>	1.030a
Race	/	/	0.700	0.700	1.031	1.031	1.030
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.657 <sup>d</sup>	0.642 <sup>d</sup>	0.755 <sup>d</sup>	0.800 <sup>d</sup>
Hispanic Hispanic	/	/	/	0.853 <sup>d</sup>	0.866 <sup>d</sup>	1.016	1.063 <sup>b</sup>
Other	/	/	/	0.833° 0.796 <sup>d</sup>	0.826 <sup>d</sup>	0.955	0.984
Chronic Condition	/	/	/	0.790	0.820	0.933	0.964
	/	/	/	/	D-f	D-f	D-f
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref. 2.247 <sup>d</sup>
Yes	/	/	/	/	2.256 <sup>d</sup>	2.248 <sup>d</sup>	2.247 <sup>d</sup>
Geography	,	,	,	,	,	D 6	D 6
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.097a	0.107a
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	1.046	1.007
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.637 <sup>d</sup>	0.695 <sup>d</sup>
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	<u>VARIABLES</u>	S: REPORTE	<u>D IN QUART</u>	TILES			
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.978
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.100a
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.135 <sup>d</sup>
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.229 <sup>d</sup>
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.040
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.948a
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.982
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.143 <sup>d</sup>
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.941a
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.767 <sup>d</sup>
	1 .	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# Well-child Visits, 15 months

**Dependent variable:** likelihood of each child 15 months of age to have had at least 5 well-child visits. **Louisiana** (N= 51,719)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	$\frac{\text{Siana (N=5)}}{\text{Model 3}}$	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.517	0.522	0.523	0.532	0.584	0.593	0.601
Intercept	0.5060 <sup>d</sup>	0.4693 <sup>d</sup>	0.4633 <sup>d</sup>	0.4940 <sup>d</sup>	0.2444 <sup>d</sup>	-0.0102	-0.1578 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage	0.951 <sup>d</sup>	0.950 <sup>d</sup>	0.950 <sup>d</sup>	0.949 <sup>d</sup>	0.947 <sup>d</sup>	0.940 <sup>d</sup>	0.963 <sup>b</sup>
Age							
0-1 years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
1-5 years old	/	1.163 <sup>d</sup>	1.162 <sup>d</sup>	1.161 <sup>d</sup>	1.150 <sup>d</sup>	1.150 <sup>d</sup>	1.150 <sup>d</sup>
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	1.012	1.013	1.059 <sup>b</sup>	1.058 <sup>b</sup>	1.058 <sup>b</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.992	0.993	0.957a	0.972
Hispanic	/	/	/	0.685 <sup>d</sup>	0.718 <sup>d</sup>	0.687 <sup>d</sup>	0.698 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	0.909a	0.934	0.898a	0.904a
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	1.784 <sup>d</sup>	1.789 <sup>d</sup>	1.777 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.700 <sup>d</sup>	0.658 <sup>d</sup>
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	1.262 <sup>d</sup>	1.222 <sup>d</sup>
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	1.422 <sup>d</sup>	1.465 <sup>d</sup>
ZIP CODE-LEVEL SES	VARIABLES	S: REPORTE	D IN QUART	TILES			
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.971
Missing income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.248 <sup>c</sup>
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.001
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.807°
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.005
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.174 <sup>d</sup>
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.097a
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.269 <sup>d</sup>
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.198 <sup>c</sup>
≥ 75% below FPL	,	/	/	/	/	/	1.084

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# **Appendix Vb: Unnecessary hospitalization for Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions**

# Illinois Coverage PI (N=1,660,024)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.503	0.637	0.637	0.648	0.785	0.785	0.786
Intercept	-4.3176 <sup>d</sup>	-4.3334 <sup>d</sup>	-4.3034 <sup>d</sup>	-4.3650 <sup>d</sup>	-5.3915 <sup>d</sup>	-5.4129 <sup>d</sup>	-5.5542 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage PI	1.025 <sup>d</sup>	1.026 <sup>d</sup>	1.026 <sup>d</sup>	1.023 <sup>d</sup>	1.019 <sup>d</sup>	1.019 <sup>d</sup>	1.015 <sup>d</sup>
Age							
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
6-12 years old	/	0.502 <sup>d</sup>	0.502 <sup>d</sup>	0.513 <sup>d</sup>	0.485 <sup>d</sup>	0.485 <sup>d</sup>	0.486 <sup>d</sup>
1-5 years old	/	0.969	0.968	1.008	0.846 <sup>d</sup>	0.846 <sup>d</sup>	0.848 <sup>d</sup>
0-1 years old	/	2.187 <sup>d</sup>	2.185 <sup>d</sup>	2.280 <sup>d</sup>	2.307 <sup>d</sup>	2.308 <sup>d</sup>	2.311 <sup>d</sup>
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	0.942 <sup>d</sup>	0.943 <sup>d</sup>	1.114 <sup>d</sup>	1.114 <sup>d</sup>	1.113 <sup>d</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.277 <sup>d</sup>	1.240 <sup>d</sup>	1.261 <sup>d</sup>	1.195 <sup>d</sup>
Hispanic	/	/	/	0.889 <sup>d</sup>	0.934 <sup>c</sup>	0.950a	0.915 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	0.795 <sup>d</sup>	0.893°	0.907 <sup>b</sup>	0.910 <sup>b</sup>
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	7.002 <sup>d</sup>	6.995 <sup>d</sup>	6.963 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.813	0.966
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	1.078 <sup>a</sup>	1.054
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.003	1.038
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.015
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.058
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.023
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.024
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.080 <sup>b</sup>
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.060a
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.060a
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.976
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.162 <sup>d</sup>
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.114 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# <u>Louisiana</u> Coverage PI (N=775,256)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	PI (N=775,256 Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.499	0.666	0.665	0.668	0.765	0.769	0.771
Intercept	-3.6882 <sup>d</sup>	-4.1036 <sup>d</sup>	-4.0845 <sup>d</sup>	-4.0384 <sup>d</sup>	-4.8009 <sup>d</sup>	-4.5851 <sup>d</sup>	-4.7662 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage PI	0.991	0.998	0.998	1.004	1.003	1.008	0.987
Age							
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
6-12 years old	/	0.665 <sup>d</sup>	0.665 <sup>d</sup>	0.665 <sup>d</sup>	0.603 <sup>d</sup>	0.606 <sup>d</sup>	0.608 <sup>d</sup>
1-5 years old	/	1.692 <sup>d</sup>	1.691 <sup>d</sup>	1.706 <sup>d</sup>	1.364 <sup>d</sup>	1.377 <sup>d</sup>	1.381 <sup>d</sup>
0-1 years old	/	3.481 <sup>d</sup>	3.479 <sup>d</sup>	3.535 <sup>d</sup>	3.121 <sup>d</sup>	3.153 <sup>d</sup>	3.163 <sup>d</sup>
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	0.962 <sup>b</sup>	0.963a	1.078 <sup>d</sup>	1.076 <sup>d</sup>	1.076 <sup>d</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	0.945 <sup>c</sup>	0.960a	1.005	0.953 <sup>b</sup>
Hispanic	/	/	/	0.558 <sup>d</sup>	0.629 <sup>d</sup>	0.689 <sup>d</sup>	0.697 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	0.885°	0.888c	0.948	0.938
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	5.001 <sup>d</sup>	4.956 <sup>d</sup>	4.950 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.447 <sup>d</sup>	0.439 <sup>d</sup>
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.949a	0.913 <sup>c</sup>
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.690 <sup>d</sup>	0.741 <sup>d</sup>
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.035
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.049
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.082
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.064 <sup>a</sup>
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.916 <sup>b</sup>
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.919 <sup>b</sup>
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.058
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.246 <sup>d</sup>
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.298 <sup>d</sup>
	+	+	+,	+	/	+.	1.333 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# Montana Coverage PI (N= 88,268)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	PI (N= 88,268   Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.501	0.658	0.658	0.677	0.807	0.809	0.812
Intercept	-4.2159 <sup>d</sup>	-4.2986 <sup>d</sup>	-4.2808 <sup>d</sup>	-4.4491 <sup>d</sup>	-5.3068 <sup>d</sup>	-5.3128 <sup>d</sup>	-5.4878 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage PI	0.966	0.974	0.974	0.988	0.979	0.976	0.975
Age	0.900	0.974	0.974	0.988	0.979	0.970	0.973
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
•	/	0.457 <sup>d</sup>	0.457 <sup>d</sup>	0.459 <sup>d</sup>	0.423 <sup>d</sup>	0.424 <sup>d</sup>	0.425 <sup>d</sup>
6-12 years old 1-5 years old	/	0.457	0.457	0.459	0.423 <sup>d</sup> 0.751 <sup>c</sup>	0.424 <sup>c</sup> 0.751 <sup>c</sup>	0.425°
-	/	2.546 <sup>d</sup>	2.545 <sup>d</sup>	2.545 <sup>d</sup>	2.372 <sup>d</sup>	2.376 <sup>d</sup>	2.375 <sup>d</sup>
0-1 years old	/	2.540	2.545	2.545	2.372	2.376	2.375
Sex	/	1	D.C	D. C	D.C	D.C	D. C
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	0.965	0.964	1.115	1.117	1.116
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.131	1.027	1.066	1.046
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.105	1.074	1.097	1.066
Other	/	/	/	1.736 <sup>d</sup>	1.698 <sup>d</sup>	1.688 <sup>d</sup>	1.483 <sup>d</sup>
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	7.658 <sup>d</sup>	7.671 <sup>d</sup>	7.577 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.936	0.895
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	1.084	1.166 <sup>a</sup>
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.895	0.988
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.045
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.950
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.962
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.931
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.066
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.217
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.083
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.289
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.131
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.131
<sup>a</sup> n 0 05 <sup>b</sup> n 0 01 <sup>c</sup> n 0 001 <sup>d</sup> n 0	1 ′	1 '	1 '	] '		′	1.207

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

# North Carolina Coverage PI (N= 1,172,043)

Coverage PI (N= 1,172,043)										
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7			
C-statistic	0.520	0.649	0.650	0.655	0.781	0.781	0.782			
Intercept	-4.3013 <sup>d</sup>	-4.3403 <sup>d</sup>	-4.3504 <sup>d</sup>	-4.4123 <sup>d</sup>	-5.2235 <sup>d</sup>	-5.1243 <sup>d</sup>	-5.3341 <sup>d</sup>			
Centered Coverage PI	1.047 <sup>d</sup>	1.059 <sup>d</sup>	1.059 <sup>d</sup>	1.062 <sup>d</sup>	1.035 <sup>d</sup>	1.006	0.967°			
Age										
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
6-12 years old	/	0.461 <sup>d</sup>	0.461 <sup>d</sup>	0.462 <sup>d</sup>	0.389 <sup>d</sup>	0.390 <sup>d</sup>	0.390 <sup>d</sup>			
1-5 years old	/	0.970	0.970	0.976	0.655 <sup>d</sup>	0.657 <sup>d</sup>	0.659 <sup>d</sup>			
0-1 years old	/	2.297 <sup>d</sup>	2.298 <sup>d</sup>	2.303 <sup>d</sup>	1.916 <sup>d</sup>	1.921 <sup>d</sup>	1.927 <sup>d</sup>			
Sex										
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Female	/	/	1.020	1.021	1.170 <sup>d</sup>	1.170 <sup>d</sup>	1.169			
Race										
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.086 <sup>d</sup>	1.028	1.036	1.014			
Hispanic	/	/	/	0.984	1.061 <sup>a</sup>	1.072 <sup>b</sup>	1.072 <sup>b</sup>			
Other	/	/	/	1.294 <sup>d</sup>	1.231 <sup>d</sup>	1.238 <sup>d</sup>	1.225 <sup>d</sup>			
Chronic Condition										
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	/	/	/	/	6.407 <sup>d</sup>	6.386 <sup>d</sup>	6.371 <sup>d</sup>			
Geography										
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.730 <sup>d</sup>	0.724°			
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.964	0.976			
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.858 <sup>d</sup>	0.925 <sup>b</sup>			
Poverty										
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.018			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/				
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.974			
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.979			
Education										
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.233 <sup>d</sup>			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/				
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.334 <sup>d</sup>			
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.380 <sup>d</sup>			
Income										
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.908 <sup>b</sup>			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.237 <sup>d</sup>			
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.905a			
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.049			
<sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001,	d p<0.0001	1	1			1	1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.001

Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
0.500	0.650	0.652	0.652	0.808	0.810	0.810
-4.8917 <sup>d</sup>	-5.1897 <sup>d</sup>	-5.1566 <sup>d</sup>	-5.1688 <sup>d</sup>	-6.2256 <sup>d</sup>	-6.4181 <sup>d</sup>	-6.4500 <sup>d</sup>
1.060	1.067	1.067	1.067	1.046	1.106	1.030
/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
/	0.686 <sup>b</sup>	0.685 <sup>b</sup>	0.684 <sup>b</sup>	0.654°	0.653°	0.651°
/	1.466 <sup>c</sup>	1.466 <sup>c</sup>	1.461°	1.093	1.086	1.074
/	3.206 <sup>d</sup>	3.205 <sup>d</sup>	3.188 <sup>d</sup>	2.771 <sup>d</sup>	2.750 <sup>d</sup>	2.703 <sup>d</sup>
/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
/	/	0.933	0.934	1.093	1.091	1.088
/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
/	/	/	1.441	1.467	1.381	1.282
/	/	/	1.249	1.254	1.164	1.022
/	/	/	0.479	0.579	0.553	0.552
/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
/	/	/	/	9.023 <sup>d</sup>	8.982 <sup>d</sup>	8.904 <sup>d</sup>
/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
/	/	/	/	/	1.224	1.589
/	/	/	/	/	1.220	1.165
/	/	/	/	/	1.346 <sup>b</sup>	1.257a
/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
/	/	/	/	/	/	0.860
/	/	/	/	/	/	
/	/	/	/	/	/	0.805
/	/	/	/	/	/	0.993
/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
/	/	/	/	/	/	1.056
/	/	/	/	/	/	
/	/	/	/	/	/	1.137
/	/	/	/	/	/	1.335
/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
/	/	/	/	/	/	1.004
/	/	/	/	/	/	0.824
/	/	/	/	/	/	1.192
/	/	1	/	/	/	1.102
	0.500 -4.8917 <sup>d</sup> 1.060  // // // // // // // // // // // // /	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

New York Coverage PI (N= 1,957,955)

Coverage PI (N= 1,957,955)										
Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7			
C-statistic	0.497	0.636	0.636	0.652	0.779	0.779	0.780			
Intercept	-3.9285 <sup>d</sup>	-4.1895 <sup>d</sup>	-4.1367 <sup>d</sup>	-4.5153 <sup>d</sup>	-5.3588 <sup>d</sup>	-5.4095 <sup>d</sup>	-5.4057 <sup>d</sup>			
Centered Coverage PI	1.022 <sup>d</sup>	1.031 <sup>d</sup>	1.031 <sup>d</sup>	1.017 <sup>d</sup>	1.005	1.000	0.989 <sup>b</sup>			
Age										
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
6-12 years old	/	0.680 <sup>d</sup>	0.678 <sup>d</sup>	0.678 <sup>d</sup>	0.595 <sup>d</sup>	0.595 <sup>d</sup>	0.596 <sup>d</sup>			
1-5 years old	/	1.383 <sup>d</sup>	1.381 <sup>d</sup>	1.386 <sup>d</sup>	0.962a	0.961a	0.967a			
0-1 years old	/	2.610 <sup>d</sup>	2.606 <sup>d</sup>	2.715 <sup>d</sup>	2.305 <sup>d</sup>	2.303 <sup>d</sup>	2.318 <sup>d</sup>			
Sex										
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Female	/	/	0.898 <sup>d</sup>	0.896 <sup>d</sup>	1.023a	1.023ª	1.023a			
Race										
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.682 <sup>d</sup>	1.684 <sup>d</sup>	1.648 <sup>d</sup>	1.535 <sup>d</sup>			
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.799 <sup>d</sup>	1.680 <sup>d</sup>	1.642 <sup>d</sup>	1.501 <sup>d</sup>			
Other	/	/	/	1.205 <sup>d</sup>	1.272 <sup>d</sup>	1.246 <sup>d</sup>	1.194 <sup>d</sup>			
Chronic Condition										
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.			
Yes	/	/	/	/	6.395 <sup>d</sup>	6.391 <sup>d</sup>	6.385 <sup>d</sup>			
Geography										
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.868	1.034			
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.985	0.993			
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	1.081 <sup>b</sup>	1.015			
Poverty										
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.992			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/				
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.912°			
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.164 <sup>d</sup>			
Education										
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.122 <sup>d</sup>			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/				
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.219 <sup>d</sup>			
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.209 <sup>d</sup>			
Income										
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.			
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.944 <sup>b</sup>			
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.879			
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.940a			
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.951			
a n<0.05 b n<0.01 c n<0.001 d n<0	0.0001	1	1 '	1		<u> </u>	1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

Oregon Coverage PI (N= 351,623)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	I (N= 351,623 Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.520	0.666	0.665	0.674	0.788	0.789	0.791
		-4.8592 <sup>d</sup>		-4.9579 <sup>d</sup>	-5.7133 <sup>d</sup>		-5.7532 <sup>d</sup>
Intercept	-4.8187 <sup>d</sup>		-4.8547 <sup>d</sup>			-5.6565 <sup>d</sup>	
Centered Coverage PI	1.045 <sup>a</sup>	1.054ª	1.054ª	1.064 <sup>b</sup>	1.041	1.042	1.041
Age	,	D 4	D 0		D 0	D 4	7.0
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
6-12 years old	/	0.406 <sup>d</sup>	0.406a	0.400 <sup>d</sup>	0.380 <sup>d</sup>	0.379 <sup>d</sup>	0.379 <sup>d</sup>
1-5 years old	/	0.868a	0.868 <sup>d</sup>	0.848 <sup>b</sup>	0.680 <sup>d</sup>	0.679 <sup>d</sup>	0.679 <sup>d</sup>
0-1 years old	/	2.453 <sup>d</sup>	2.452 <sup>d</sup>	2.395 <sup>d</sup>	2.254 <sup>d</sup>	2.253 <sup>d</sup>	2.258 <sup>d</sup>
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	0.991	0.990	1.122 <sup>b</sup>	1.122 <sup>b</sup>	1.122 <sup>b</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.311 b	1.207 <sup>a</sup>	1.168	1.156
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.290 <sup>d</sup>	1.350 <sup>d</sup>	1.340 <sup>d</sup>	1.360 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	1.236 <sup>d</sup>	1.293 <sup>d</sup>	1.282 <sup>d</sup>	1.289 <sup>d</sup>
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	6.455 <sup>d</sup>	6.465 <sup>d</sup>	6.460 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.982	1.684
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.875	0.837a
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.984	1.003
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.274°
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.263 <sup>b</sup>
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.242
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.235 <sup>b</sup>
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.087
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.984
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.814 <sup>b</sup>
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.577 <sup>b</sup>
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.832a
< 25% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.837
a p < 0.05 b p < 0.01 c p < 0.001 d p < 0.00	<i>'</i>	<u> </u>	1	1		<u> </u>	0.00.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001

Utah Coverage PI (N= 241,495)

Predictors	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
C-statistic	0.504	0.697	0.703	0.708	0.820	0.820	0.824
Intercept	-4.5006 <sup>d</sup>	-4.8095 <sup>d</sup>	-4.8264 <sup>d</sup>	-4.9477 <sup>d</sup>	-5.6614 <sup>d</sup>	-5.6300 <sup>d</sup>	-5.8548 <sup>d</sup>
Centered Coverage PI	0.940 <sup>b</sup>	0.940 <sup>b</sup>	0.940 <sup>b</sup>	0.943 <sup>b</sup>	0.963	0.955a	0.989
Age							
13+ years old	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
6-12 years old	/	0.432 <sup>d</sup>	0.432 <sup>d</sup>	0.429 <sup>d</sup>	0.452a	0.452 <sup>d</sup>	0.459 <sup>d</sup>
1-5 years old	/	1.037	1.037	1.023	0.863	0.864 <sup>d</sup>	0.877
0-1 years old	/	3.357 <sup>d</sup>	3.358 <sup>d</sup>	3.283 <sup>d</sup>	2.699 <sup>d</sup>	2.703 <sup>d</sup>	2.736 <sup>d</sup>
Sex							
Male	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	/	/	1.034	1.032	1.184 <sup>d</sup>	1.184 <sup>d</sup>	1.182 <sup>d</sup>
Race							
Non-Hispanic White	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Non-Hispanic Black	/	/	/	1.478 <sup>c</sup>	1.400 <sup>b</sup>	1.389 <sup>b</sup>	1.256a
Hispanic	/	/	/	1.376 <sup>d</sup>	1.446 <sup>d</sup>	1.436 <sup>d</sup>	1.306 <sup>d</sup>
Other	/	/	/	1.509 <sup>d</sup>	1.623 <sup>d</sup>	1.615 <sup>d</sup>	1.508 <sup>d</sup>
Chronic Condition							
No	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	/	/	/	/	7.121 <sup>d</sup>	7.129 <sup>d</sup>	7.138 <sup>d</sup>
Geography							
Rural	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.	Ref.
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	0.920	1.158
Urban Cluster	/	/	/	/	/	0.900	1.023
Urbanized Area	/	/	/	/	/	0.982	1.078
Poverty							
< 25% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
25-50% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.955
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
50-75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.187
≥ 75% below FPL	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.870
Education							
≥ 75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.100
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	
25-50% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.115
< 25% with HS Degree	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.632 <sup>d</sup>
Income							
≥ 75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	Ref.
50-75% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.916
Missing	/	/	/	/	/	/	1.013
25-50% income level	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.927
		1			1	1	1.053

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, <sup>d</sup> p<0.0001